UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,733	12/30/2003	Dwight P. Morejohn	GUID-036	7537
	7590 03/04/200 OF ALAN W. CANNO	EXAMINER		
942 MESA OAK COURT			MAI, HAO D	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/748,733	MOREJOHN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HAO D. MAI	3732		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,11,13-30,44 and 45 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,16-19,21 and 23-3 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 11, 13-15, 20, 22, 44-45 is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>Ø</u> is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/748,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.1143

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/12/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 11, 13-15, 20, 22, and 45, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 11 recites "free end" and "free end portion" which are inconsistent,
 rendering ambiguity whether the two limitations are the same. This also amounts to lack of antecedent bases.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/748,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koros (5,908,382).

Koros et al. disclose a retractor 10 (Fig. 1) capable of providing transabdominal access, comprising upper spreader portion 28 and lower spreader portion 16; wherein the spreader portions are positioned relative to each other that they are capable of opening the incision 90 and producing a substernal space for access to the heart (Fig. 1). The upper spreader portion 18 is capable of lifting the sternal area and comprising a hook 52 mounted to a retraction mechanism 28 comprising a screw 58 via a swivel 48 (Figs. 1-2; column 3 lines 2-5). The lower spreader portion comprising a depressor surface 15 capable of engaging the diaphragm and abdominal organs to depress the abdomen at the incision. The depressor surface is shown to have a tongue depress-like structure.

6. Claims 11, 13-15, 20, and 45, are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Santilli et al. (6,099,468).

Regarding claim 11, Santilli et al. disclose a transabdominal access system 10 comprising: an upper spreader portion 46 and a lower spreader portion 82 being positioned relative to each other to produce a substernal space (Figs. 1-2). The upper spreader portion 46 includes a pair of hooks 78 and 80 each having a free end portion independent of the other and capable of lifting the patient's sternal area. The lower spreader portion 22 comprises depressor surface 82 that can positioned to underlay the pair of hooks (by adjusting bar portion 22 along bar 24 towards bar 20). The depressor surface 14 is capable of engaging the diaphragm and abdominal organs and has a free end portion. The free ends of the depressor surface 82 and the two hooks 78, 80, can all be adjusted to extend in a substantially same direction.

Art Unit: 3732

Alternatively, it can be said that all three free end *portions* each as a whole is extending downward; thus they are all extending in the same (downward) direction.

As to 13-15, each of the pair of hooks 78, 80, comprising a lifting surface capable of lifting a patient's sternal area. Also note the first and second side portions (bars 20 and 22) can be positioned and configured between upper and lower spreader portions; the first and second side portions 20, 22, are integrally, i.e. forming a whole or one piece, connected to the upper and lower portions.

As to claim 20 and 45, the upper spreader portion 46 comprising a retraction mechanism 54/66 capable of lifting the rib cage by adjusting the rods 84 of hooks 78 and 80; and the depressor surface 82 both having a tongue depressor-like structure (Figs. 1-2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Santilli et al. in view of Jascalevich (3,710,783).

Santilli et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed according to claim 11.

Santilli discloses sliding the rod 84 through a bore knob 66 in order to retract the hook 78.

Therefore, Santilli et al. fail to disclose the upper spreader portion comprising a screw mechanism for lifting and retracting (claim 22). Jascalevich discloses a retractor rod D comprising outer threads for adjusting the rod through knob 62 as a retracting and lifting

Art Unit: 3732

mechanism (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Santilli et al. by substituting such screw mechanism as a suitable alternative retracting and lifting mechanism that would result in the same and/or predictable results.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 09/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and/or moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant argued that Santilli et al. do not disclose the depressor surface underlying the hooks and the end portions extending in substantially the same direction. It is maintained that Santilli's depressor surface 82 that can positioned to underlay the pair of hooks (by adjusting bar portion 22 along bar 24 towards bar 20); and the free ends of the depressor surface 82 and the two hooks 78, 80, can all be adjusted to extend in a substantially same direction. Alternatively, it can be said that all three free end *portions* each as a whole is extending downward; thus they are all extending in the same (downward) direction.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAO D. MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3002. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,733 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hao D Mai/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732